

The Architecture of Punishment: Jeremy Bentham, Michael Foucault and the Construction of Stateville Penitentiary Illinois, typed manuscript.

**The Architecture of Punishment:
Jeremy Bentham, Michael Foucault and
the Construction of Stateville Penitentiary, Illinois**

By John M. Lamb

Until the late 18th century the concept of the modern prison or penitentiary did not exist. The prisons were simply holding places where felons were confined before trial or punishment, and debtors before paying their debt. Inside these prisons the prisoners mingled together; the worst were chained to a wall or were confined to a dungeon. After sentencing they were executed, transported to America or Australia, sent to galleys, or, in England, sent to work houses.

In the 18th century this system came under attack by reformers such as John Howard.¹ Howard wrote that the prisons were centers of vice and disease uncontrolled by the jailers who were paid by the prisoners, or rather extorted their pay from the prisoners. Things were so bad that Jail Fever spread from the prisoners in the dock to the judges, several of whom died in 1750. Howard led the way in prison reform, having learned a great deal about prisons from his conscientious supervision of the prison in his country. He demanded that new and better prisons be built that would help to reform and control the miscreants.

In the late 18th century Jeremy Bentham,² the philosopher, put forth his idea about prison architecture. He enthusiastically adopted an idea developed by his brother, Samuel. Samuel had built a factory in Russia that was circular so that the foreman could at all times observe and correct the unskilled Russian shipyard workers. Jeremy expanded on this as the ideal architecture for buildings where people were confined, besides factories, such as hospitals, prisons and schools. He called this type of building a “Panoptican” (all seeing). His enthusiasm for the beneficial effects of this disciplinary architecture seems unlimited. His praise is unstinting in his work, *The Panoptican; or the Inspection-House*.

“To say all in one word, it will be found applicable, I think, without exception to all establishments whatever, in which, within a space not too large to be covered or commanded by buildings, a number of persons are meant to be kept under inspection. No matter how different, or even opposite the purpose....”³

Then he goes on to expand on the principle’s usefulness...

“What would you say, if by the gradual adoption and diverse application of this single principle [i.e., the Panoptican], you should see a new scene of things spread itself over the face of civilized society? - Morals reformed, health preserved, industry invigorated, instruction diffused, public burdens lightened, economy seated as it were upon a rock, the Gordian knot of the Poor-laws not cut but untied-all by a simple Idea of Architecture.”⁴

Bentham devotes the longest chapter in the book to the use of this circular building with an all- seeing inspector for schools. Since to Bentham the end of social reform is that one should be able to pursue happiness, he retorts to those who would criticize the use of the Panoptican for schools because it would make

the children monks, soldiers or machines, that "...it would be necessary to recur at once to the end of education - would happiness be most likely to be increased or diminished by this discipline? Call them soldiers, call them monks, call them machines, so they were happy ones I should not care."⁵

But it was to prisons or penitentiaries that Bentham sought most earnestly to apply this principle. He set out in a twenty-year effort, beginning in 1791, to persuade the English government to back the construction of a Panopticon. He would be in control, as Edmund Burke said "like a spider in the middle of a web," of a profit-making prison. The profit would come from the work of the prisoners, and to insure the honesty of the operation and to check abuse, it would always be open to inspection. The prisoners would be watched by the guards, the guards by the superintendent, and the superintendent by the public. As he says...

"You see, I take it for granted, as a matter of course, that under the necessary regulations for preventing interruption and disturbance, the doors of the establishment ought to be thrown wide open to the body of the curious at large: - the great open committee of the tribunal of the world."⁶

Bentham's proposal for a profit-making prison system was eventually in 1820 rejected by Parliament. However, the architectural idea of a circular cell block which embodied the principle of constant inspection was a very influential concept of prison construction in the early 19th century. It should also be noted that Bentham was not merely pushing an idea: he saw his Panopticon as using new materials such as cast iron that would make the structure lighter.⁷ He also envisaged the use of more glass, central heating and a system of forced air ventilation so that the environment would be healthy, and though the prisoners would be engaged in productive labor all day, the mortality rate would be no higher than that of the outside world.⁸

But the central point of the system was as Bentham notes...

"You will observe, that, though perhaps, it is the most important point that the person to be inspected should always feel themselves as if under inspection, at least as standing a great chance of being so, yet it is not by any means the only one...what is also important is, that for the greatest portion of time possible, each man should actually be under inspection."⁹

Bentham felt that this constant observation would effect a reformation of the Prisoner. The architecture, would in collaboration with the prison directors, achieve this end. He listed purposes that the Panopticon would achieve.

1. Example, or the prevention by terror of example from the commission of similar offenses
2. Good behavior of the prisoners
3. Preservation of decency
4. Prevention of undue hardship
5. Preservation of health, and the degree of cleanliness necessary to that end
6. Security against fire
7. Safe custody
8. Provision for future subsistence that is for the subsistence of the prisoners after the term of their punishment has expired
9. Provision for their future good behavior
10. Provision for religious instructions
11. Provision for comfort
12. Observance of economy
13. Maintenance of subordination.¹⁰

The Panopticon and its concept of function were popular theories in the early 19th century. In his work on prisons Michael Foucault finds that many writers in the first half of the century were enthusiastic about Bentham's ideas. He quotes Nicholas H. Julius (1783-1862) who wrote extensively on prisons and education...

“Speaking of the panoptic principle, he [Julius] said there was much more there than architectural ingenuity: it was an event in the history of the human mind. In appearance, it is merely the solution of a technical problem: but through it, a whole type of society emerges. Antiquity had been a civilization of spectacle...with spectacle there was a predominance of public life, the intensity of festivals, sensual proximity...the modern age poses the opposite problem.

“To procure for a small number, or even a single individual the instantaneous view of a great multitude. In a society in which the principal elements are no longer the community and public life, but on one hand, private individuals, on the other the state relations can be regulated only in a form that is the exact reverse of the spectacle.

“It was to the modern age, to the every growing influence of the state, to its ever more profound intervention in all the details and all the relations of social life that reserved the task of increasing and perfecting its guarantees, by using and directing towards that great aim the building intended to observe a great multitude of men at the same time.

“Julius saw as a fulfilled historical process that which Bentham had described as a technical program. Our society is not one of spectacle, but of surveillance...it is not that the beautiful totality of the individual is amputated, repressed or altered by our social order, it is that the individual is carefully fabricated in it, according to a whole technique of forces and bodies.”¹¹

As Foucault goes on to observe that the Panopticon idea for building prisons was widely acclaimed.

“...‘Moral accounting’ was made compulsory, and individual report of a uniform kind in every prison, on which the governor, or head warden, the chaplain, and the instructor had to fill their observation of each inmate. ‘It is in a way the *vade mecum* of prison administration, making it possible to assess each case, each circumstance and, consequently, to know what treatment to apply to each prisoner individually.’”¹²

Foucault notes that the Panopticon seemed in the early 19th century to be the perfect embodiment of the need to observe and record behavior, and to let the prisoner know he was being observed at all times which would bring about his reformation.

“The prison, the place where the penalty is carried out, is also the place of observation of punished individuals. This takes two forms: surveillance, of course, but also knowledge of each inmate, of his behavior, of his deeper

states of mind, his gradual improvement; the prisons must be conceived as places for the formation of clinical knowledge about the convicts...the theme of the Panoptican at once surveillance and observation, security and knowledge, individualization and totalization, isolation and transparency-found in the prison its privileged focus of realization.”

He then quotes Lucos’ 1836 work *On Prison Reform*.

“It [the Panoptican] was the most direct way of expressing the intelligence of ‘discipline in stone.’ Of making architecture transparent to the administration of power; making it possible to substitute for force or other violent constraints the gentle efficiency of total surveillance, of ordering space according to recent humanization of the codes of the penitentiary theory. In short, its tack was to constitute a prison machine, with a cell of visibility in which the inmate will find himself caught,...and a central point from which a permanent gaze may control prisoners and staff.”¹³

The principle of Bentham’s Panoptican remained important after the 1830’s, but the popularity of his architectural concept went into a swift decline. So in 1870 the Congress of Correction and Prevention at its convention in Cincinnati has as its 31st principle the following...

“It was a saying of Jeremy Bentham, that ‘A prison should be so arranged that its chief officer can see all, know all and care for all!’ We subscribe to the sentiment. The proper size of a prison is a point of much practical interest. Prisons containing too many prisoners interfere with the principle of individualization, that is, with the study of the character of each individual prisoner, and the adaptation of the discipline, as far as practical, to his personal peculiarities. It is obvious that the application of the principle is possible only in a prison of moderate size. 300 inmates?”¹⁴

But as to the Panoptican itself, after a few such prisons were built in the early part of the 19th century this architectural concept seemed to die out. A book published in 1910 by F. H. Wines called *Punishment and Reformation* said the Panoptican, since it was nowhere adopted, should be “regarded in no other light than as one of the curiosities of prison history.”¹⁵

In Illinois around the turn of the century, prison reform was in the forefront. The State had created the post of a full-time psychiatrist for prison work, the first State to use the new science in prison work. It was the first State to develop a system of parole. The aforementioned F. H. Wines had left a federal position to become State Criminologist. Edmund W. Allen was warden of Joliet Prison, and was an advocate of smaller prison establishments with less emphasis upon walls. Another leading figure was J. L. Whitman in charge of the Cook County Prison and was responsible for building Bridewell in an innovative design in which each cell had an exterior window.

The older view of prison architecture was the Joliet State Prison built before the Civil War. This prison, which still is used as a prison, was based upon earlier concepts of punishment and reform. [See note at end of document.] Its exterior is attractive with local limestone walls and turrets built as a monument to 19th century gothic. The outside grounds during the early years of this century were graced with gardens and ponds. The interior had small crowded cells built in blocks and tiers. This was called the Auburn plan. That is a rectangular building enclosing cells back to back in the interior. Between the cells and the walls is an open space with a cat walk for the guards to patrol. This type of cell house is less expensive to build. Joliet

Prison is located in a heavy industrial area close by a large steel mill; the prison's major enterprise was stone quarrying, as in those days prison labor was expected to return a good deal of the cost of running the penitentiary.

Given the State's commitment to prison reform, it was decided to build a new prison to replace the old Joliet Prison. In 1907 the Legislature created "The Prison Commission" which was to select a site of not less than 2,000 acres for a new State penitentiary. Obviously this would indicate that instead of cutting stones, the inmate labor was to be devoted to agriculture, as that was presumed to be a much healthier occupation. An article published in 1911 says the purpose was two fold. "...to provide employment of the convicts in tilling the soil, and to make the institute as nearly self sustaining as possible."¹⁶ In October 1909 Gov. Charles Deneen appointed to this Commission John Lambert of Joliet, James A. Patten of Evanston, and Ira C. Copley of Aurora. The three were wealthy businessmen, and the Commission was known as 'The Millionaires Commission' according to Deneen.¹⁷ Of the three only Lambert had any experience in prison work. He had been employed briefly as a prison guard at Joliet as a young man. The three commissioners found a site of 2,193 acres of land in Lockport Township located on high ground a few miles north of Joliet. The land cost most of the \$500,000 the legislature had appropriated for the new prison. The Commission reported enthusiastically on the site...

"Here away from the smoke of the factory chimneys - away from the dense fog and stagnant air of the valley, which at times envelopes the old prison, has been found a truly magnificent site where the pure invigorating air and sunlight and the panorama of the hills, valley and woods add nature's regenerating influence of the process of reformation. One 'trusty' sent out from the old penitentiary to work on the new buildings, upon his first night spent at the "Farm" said that it was the first time he had seen the stars in eleven years; what must be the effect, on the process of reformation, of a system of reformation which entails such deprivation."¹⁸

The selection indicates not only a belief in the salubrious and reformatory benefits of the country air, but also a complete rejection of the ideas of Allen the warden at Joliet that new prisons should be small and more like work camps, not large prisons such as the one at Joliet. Allen wanted the prisoners at these camps to be housed in cottages and the more able bodied in dormitories near their work.¹⁹

But Stateville was not intended to conform to that idea. One of the first things the new "Prison Commission" did was to hire an architect. This was W. Corbeys Zimmerman, who had already been appointed State Architect by Gov. Deneen. Zimmerman, his son Ralph, and his son-in-law, Albert Saxe, would be the main force behind the design of Stateville. The principle feature of Stateville would be the circular cell blocks first espoused by Jeremy Bentham that he called the Panopticon. The Zimmerman firm never used that term, nor do they ever mention Bentham, but they followed Bentham's ideas almost to the letter. Always the emphasis was on the architectural solution to prison problems and prison reform. In 1912 Zimmerman had his plans ready, the product, he said, of eight years study of prison architecture. He then stated the project would cost \$3.5 million and would give Illinois "the model penitentiary of the world." The architectural model follows Bentham's ideas fairly closely, although all that the Prison Commission and Zimmerman were concerned with was expounding on the uniqueness of the design, and its health and reforming effects; Bentham was never mentioned. The main features outlined in 1912 were, according to Zimmerman: 1. sunshine in every cell; 2. constant supervision of prisoners from one central point; 3. circular cell housed of four tiers, each tier having 60 single inmate cells. There would be a total of eight such cell blocks so the institution would hold about 2,000 prisoners. Each of these cells would have separate heating and ventilation assuring, as he told the *Chicago Tribune*, "...the maximum effect for the health of the inmate."

This arrangement, he maintained, would be healthier and more sanitary than the rectangular cell blocks of the Auburn plan. The eight circular cell blocks would be connected by corridors to a circular, very large dining room that would feed all 2,000 prisoners at once time. The roof of the cell blocks would be made of glass so that each cell would receive during the day some sunlight. Each cell door would have glass instead of bars to make them more private, and less noisy. Each of the eight cell blocks would be dominated at the center by a large guard tower. Entrance to the guard tower would be via a tunnel coming from the main administration building. The guard tower would give the guard a view of each cell through narrow slits, and the guard would control the lights in each cell, and the slit will allow the guard to see the prisoner, but the prisoner could not see the guard monitoring them. The total area inside the prison proper, that is within the prison walls, was to be sixty acres making it one of the largest, if not the largest prison yard in the country. Zimmerman said it would be finished by 1915.²⁰

In fact it was not finished until 1924, and only four circular cell blocks were even constructed, as it appears the cost kept escalating.

Naturally a good part of the promotion for this new expensive design was that it would reform the inmates, or the “regeneration of man” as the Prison Commission put it in 1919. Of course the prison had to be escape proof, and the design, the public was assured, would guarantee that. There was an equal emphasis on insuring the health of the inmate. The Commission noted in 1919 that the glass doors on each cell would enable the guard to view the prison’s activities at all times, but the absence of the barred doors and gratings removes the old impression that the inmate is a ‘caged animal.’ In the new penitentiary each cell is intended for but one prisoner; this gives him an individual room.” The room was five times larger than the cells in the old Joliet Prison where two inmates were housed. The report of 1919 goes on to highlight the beneficial regenerative effects of the architecture. Just as Bentham was fascinated with the heating and ventilation of his Panoptical proposal, so too was the Prison Commission.

“The heating and ventilation of each room in the new prison are independent of each other. The heating by one section of a steam radiator and the ventilation is forced through an open grating by a centrifugal fan located in the basement. Fresh air is taken from the outside and thoroughly washed by being passed through a finely divided spray of water. It is then heated to the proper temperature and forced through sheet metal ducts into the cells so that each man is provided with an abundance of fresh, washed, and properly humidified air. In addition he has the advantage of an open window at one end of his cell, and the transom at the other end, both of which he can control to secure natural cross ventilation.”²¹ u

There were other amenities such as hot and cold running water, a toilet, a bed, table and chair. The walls and ceilings would be painted in colors “in accord with the psychology of color. The effect would be cheerful, wholesome and not coldly institutional.” The toilet was of the latest design. These architectural touches made each cell not that but a private room, which would lead to the prisoner’s “regeneration.” This 1919 report goes on to say...

“Thus for the first time, the prisoner has such privacy and accommodations as to be protected against the physical and moral foulness of an adjoining criminal - his every sanitary need has been supplied and he is safely housed in a room where he can retain his self respect and not be condemned to live in such a cage or cell that he feels a constant degrading effect.”²²

In Bentham's Panopticon too, the prisoners were to be protected from their degrading criminal cohabitants, but more by complete isolation than by architectural blandishments.²³ However, not only would this arrangement at Stateville make the cells more like rooms, but the open space in the center, an atrium as it would be called today, unmarred by internal supports, could be used for pleasant recreational use, "...it can be used for the better grade of prisoners, who can be trusted with a certain amount of freedom, as a reading room."²⁴

The cost of construction would mean the project would drag on for a number of years.²⁵ During this phase Zimmerman was consulting with Prof. Charles R. Henderson, President of the Internation[al] Prison Association, and a member of the Sociology Dept. of the University of Chicago. He and Henderson made a tour of European prisons, and so Stateville would be a radical departure from the "American Type" prison. Construction of the first cell block didn't begin until 1915, most of the labor being supplied, as directed by the legislation, from the Joliet Prison population.²⁶ The completion of the first cell block of the new prison in 1917 was widely acclaimed as a great step forward in penological architecture. K. N. Hamilton writing in the *Scientific America* noted...

"With every cell in the prison having sunshine and the best air, Illinois is doing her share toward safeguarding the health of her prisoners, and placing herself well above those states where a sentence of five years imprisonment carries with it a virtual condemnation to an early tubercular death."²⁷

When construction was under way, an architect named Henry W. Tomlinson was appointed Superintendent of Construction, a post he would hold until 1924 when the prison opened.²⁸ While the original design was Zimmerman's and it was his concept, the actual details were worked out by Tomlinson. It was Zimmerman's idea to have eight circular cell blocks, circling the central circular dining room, but since the structures were made from poured concrete, this had to be prepared and overseen by an architect on the spot. This was Tomlinson. It was he who designed the concrete reinforced prison wall. This wall was one-and-a-quarter mile long, 33.5 feet in height, and enclosed 16 acres of prison area. It was the largest such prison wall when it was built; the idea of a concrete wall would be used by Sing Sing and other prisons. The cell blocks were a poured reinforced concrete. The outside walls were faced with a light buff-colored, pressed brick with terra cotta trimings.²⁹ Most of the work was done by convicts, who as a result must have learned much about poured concrete construction.

Great enthusiasm for the Stateville complex and the Zimmerman design was shown at its grand opening December 6, 1924. By that time there were four circular cell blocks completed, the grand dining hall, the central heating system, the high prison wall and several other wonders of the builders' art. By that time the cost was \$4.5million, and it was stated at the opening that it would only cost \$2 million more to complete the project. Telegrams of congratulation came pouring in, one from Maude Booth, a relative of the founder of the Salvation Army and head of the Volunteer Prison League. As she said in her telegram, "Heartfelt Congratulations to the State of Illinois on this splendid evidence of her care for the boys within the wall."³⁰ There were many others within the wall on that memorable day. The celebration took place in the great circular dining hall. The Prison Commission, consisting of James Patten, Ira Copley and Leslie Small, son of the Governor, Len Small who had replaced John Lambert after the latter's death, were honored. The 32-piece prison band played and members of the State Legislature assured the assembled that the \$2 million needed to complete this humanitarian endeavor would certainly be forthcoming.³¹

At the time of the grand opening the warden of the old Joliet Prison was John L. Whitman, who would become warden of Stateville, as it was presumed the old prison would be closed after inmates had been moved into Stateville. Joliet Prison is still in operation. Whitman, mentioned earlier as warden of Cook

County Jail, was an enthusiastic supporter of the concept of redemption via architectural design, and would become Illinois Superintendent of Prisons. In that capacity he wrote in celebration of Stateville sometime in the 1920's a pamphlet called the *Progressive Merit System of Prison Administration* which consisted of two parts, the first being "A Treatise on Prison Management" by himself, and the second part was entitled, "The New Illinois State Penitentiary at Stateville; A Treatise on Prison Design: by Zimmerman, Saxe and Zimmerman. The part Whitman authored celebrates the "Illinois Idea" which he says believes that prisoners should not only be kept in custody, but should also have "Training and treatment while they are in prison...so that their release will find them...no longer criminals or a menace to the community." Prison management should teach them not only the habits of industry, but also train them to become dependable and capable of good citizenship.

"This can be done with the aid of suitable and adequate prison construction.

"The new prison, as it is being constructed, provides, therefore, not only safe and secure custody, but also for the operation of 'The Progressive Merit System' which gradually and systematically assists in the development of stable character.

"It is no longer considered necessary or wise to build an institution all of the cell houses of which are of a character strong and secure enough to prevent the escape of those classified as the most desperate.

"It is considered much more logical and effective to design an institution, the housing accommodations of which provide a varying degree of restraint, so that, as progress is made by a prisoner, and stable character develops, he can gradually be placed on his own responsibility until it is demonstrated that he is thoroughly capable of self control."³²

He goes on to detail how prisoners entering Stateville are first of all closely observed, which close observation is made possible by the cell block design. They are examined by a psychiatrist, psychologist, physician and warden, and the rest of the staff. As implied, the Progressive Merit System rewards good behavior by increasing freedom until parole. Whitman then concludes his presentation with this idea...

"The letter of the law plainly says it shall be the duty of the Department of Public Welfare to adopt such rules concerning all prisoners and wards committed to the custody of said department as shall prevent them from returning to criminal courses best secure their self support and accomplish their reformation. Illinois is administrating this part of the statutes by the operation of the Progressive Merit System which is greatly facilitated by the scientific form of prison architecture adopted at Stateville."³³

The second part of the pamphlet, "A Treatise on Prison Design," written by the Zimmerman architectural firm, is a celebration of the design features of the new prison and its relationship to the reformation of the prisoner. When explaining the value of the prison wall, this is very clear.

"The results of the extensive survey made by the commission and its architects brought out the fact that a proper prison wall is a great asset to an institution of a character of a penitentiary.... The public is entitled to the added protection which it affords, the institution is entitled to its protection

against interference with inmates from the outside and the passing in of drugs, liquor, tools, etc., and the prisoner is entitled to it as a protection to himself against the temptation to escape by means of a passing automobile and trains or by such means as an open country or roadway would suggest.”³⁴

But it is, of course, the circular cell blocks that were the architect’s proudest achievement. They provided constant supervision not only of the inmate, but of the guard or attendant who was confined to the central tower. And they had an answer to those who would say constant supervision would not be beneficial to the inmates.

“...if so, it can be said that during the year or more the first unit has been in operation at Stateville, this objection has never been raised by an inmate. It can be said also that any inmate who is detrimentally affected by such supervision may have this cell front provided with a curtain, if indeed he is entitled to such privacy.”³⁵

Despite the presumed merits of the circular cell blocks, only four were built, and then, presumably because of need, a large, rectangular cell block of the Auburn type was built designed to hold 1,200 convicts. This was because of the large influx of prisoners from Chicago due to prohibition and Chicago Mob activity. Need and cost seems to have ended the construction of those modern circular cell blocks.³⁶

In the early 1930’s when Joseph Ragen became warden of Stateville, he says the institution was known as “The Mill.” He traces this back to a presumed saying of Jeremy Bentham that his Panoptican plan was “a mill for grinding rogues honest.” Ragen is the first to note that Zimmerman’s plans were based upon Bentham’s Panoptican. When he came to Stateville in 1935 it seems to have been controlled by gangs. These gangs originated out of the controls the inmate construction crews had while the prison was being built. So the prisoners were not only learning how to build poured concrete buildings, but also how to build inmate organization. Comparing the Panoptican to the rectangular cell block, Ragen says...

“The Panoptican is not necessarily the best housing for prisoners that has been designed, but it does serve the purpose for which it was built. The original cost exceeds that of the ‘dormitories’ or barracks – but the safety problems presented by the latter are not problems at all in the circular houses.”

He notes that although the circular cells are easier to control, inmates were always trying to be housed there.³⁷ This is verified by the remarks of retired guards who maintained that since the circular cell doors were controlled from the central guard post, prisoner movement could always be controlled.

Since Ragen retired in the early 1960’s all the circular or Panoptical cell houses, except one, have been torn down. The one exception is “F House.” The official explanation of this according to Howard Peters II, Director of the Illinois Department of Corrections, is that...

“The Department has revised its operational and procedural designs since Stateville was constructed in 1925. The deteriorated Panoptican buildings have been replaced with conventional buildings which provide a more secure environment and enables staff to more closely supervise inmate movement.”³⁸

One reason for wrecking all but one of what are now referred to as “Panopticans” could very well be because the pipes and vents were inside the concrete walls and so they were difficult if not impossible to repair. “F House” was the last one built and the only one still standing.

There have been a number of recent reports on life in “F House,” known no longer as ‘The Mill’ for grinding rogues honest, but the “Thunderdome.” Its current name is ‘Thunderdome’...

“...because of the booming noises made when officers in the center guard tower fire shots into the ceiling, where paint has been stripped off by buckshot.”³⁹

On December 3, 1991, a reporter from the *Joliet Herald News* gave her impressions of “F House.”

“Standing in the entrance of F House, the last circular cell house in the country, was spooky. Stateville was in the seventh day of lockdown when we were there, and the prisoners began throwing their lunches out of their cells. They were yelling for showers and blinking the cell lights on and off. They were like animals. I couldn’t see faces; it was scary as hell anyway.

“I can’t imagine what it must be like to be stuck in those small cells for seven days straight...what hell! It was also interesting to see them feed off each other’s anger. It’s not hard to imagine why there are no other circular cell houses.”⁴⁰

F House is where the most dangerous and intractable prisoners in Stateville are now kept. They are still one prisoner to a cell, unlike the overcrowding in the rest of the cell blocks. One suspects they are housed in F House because it is the easiest to control.

One of the prisoners in Stateville who has turned to art while doing time, works mainly in ceramic. This inmate’s, Hector Maisonet, most interesting creation, is a ceramic depiction of the circular F House. The roof is covered with prison artifacts such as keys, guns, knives and handcuffs. A hole has been pushed through the roof from the inside and a teary-eyed inmate is struggling to break through.⁴¹

It is obvious that the Panoptican still dominates Stateville, although only one is left. Bentham’s concept espoused by the architect Zimmerman and warden Whitman when Stateville was being built, was that it would be a machine of regeneration and control. Regeneration has evaporated, but the Panoptican is still both in image and fact a machine of control. That aspect of this architectural concept still survives.

[Note: Joliet Prison closed on February 16, 2002]

1. John Howard (1726-1791) A wealthy landlord who would devote his life and his fortune to a philanthropic and philosophical reform of prisons and hospitals.
2. Bentham, Jeremy (1748-1832) English reformer of laws and society and a utilitarian philosopher. Unlike John Howard, he had no practical knowledge of jails and prisons; it was all theoretical.
3. Bentham, Jeremy. *Panopticon; or the Inspection-House*. Containing the Idea of a new Principle of Construction, applicable to any sort of Establishment, in which Persons of any Description are to be kept under inspection. London, 1791. pp. 2-3
4. Ibid., p.139
5. Ibid., pp. 127-129. Letter XXI of this work is on schools and is the longest letter.
6. Ignateff, Michael: *A Just Measure of Pain: The Penitentiary in the Industrial Revolution 1750-1850*, London Penguin Books, 1978, p. 110
7. Bentham, op. cit., p. 33
8. Ibid., pp. 140-198, "Plan of Construction"
9. Ibid., pp. 24-25
10. Ibid., p.4
11. Foucault, Michel: *Discipline and Punish The Birth of the Prison* (tran. A. Sheridan) Pantheon Books, N.Y. 1977, pp. 216-217
12. Ibid., pp. 216-217, Foucault here quotes from an 1830's French writer on prison reform.
13. Ibid., pp. 249-250
14. Declaration of the Principles Promulgated at Cincinnati, Ohio 1870. As adopted by the Congress of Corrections and Prevention. Russel Sage Foundation, New York 1910, p. 61
15. This quote was taken from Joseph Ragen's Mss."The Devil Stoned, The History of an Institution, 1933, Illinois State Penitentiary, Joliet, Illinois." Illinois State Historical Library, Mss Collection, Springfield.
16. *The Banker*, Vol. 5, No. 1, Feb. 1911. Published at the First National Bank of Joliet, p. 3
17. Remarks made by the then U.S. Senator Charles Deneen on Dec. 6, 1924, when the new prison at Stateville opened. *Joliet Sunday Herald News*, Sunday, Dec. 7, 1924. Vol. XXI, No. 19, p. 2. Deneen said each was powerful in the financial world.
18. Typescript, "The New Illinois Penitentiary Stateville, Illinois." Commissioners John Lambert, Joliet; James A. Patten, Evanston; and Ira C. Copely" 1919. Chicago Historical Society Library, Chicago.

19. Ragen, *The Devil Stoned*, op. cit., pp. 127-128
 20. *The Chicago Tribune*, Dec. 2, 1912. Zimmerman (1859-1932), had a firm, Zimmerman, Saxe and Zimmerman. He studied architecture at M.I.T. He was State architect of Illinois from 1905-1920. He designed the Illinois Supreme Court Building.
 21. "The New Illinois Penitentiary Stateville," op. cit, pp. 5-7
 22. Ibid., pp. 5-7
 23. Ignatiefe, *Measure of Pain*, op. cit., p 113
 24. "The New Illinois Penitentiary Stateville," op. cit., p. 7
 25. *The Banker*, op. cit., p. 3
 26. *Illinois Blue Book*, Springfield, 1917. pp. 293-295. This article was written by Zimmerman's partner and son-in-law, Albert M. Saxe.
 27. Hamilton, K. H.: "Model Prison to be built in Illinois," *Scientific America*, Jan. 13, 1917, Vol. 116, p. 65
 28. New Illinois State Penitentiary at Stateville, a printed, four-page brochure in the Mss Collection of Gov. Small at the Illinois State Historical Library, p. 4
- Henry W. Tomlinson (1870-1942) graduated from the Cornell School of Architecture. Designed some buildings in Joliet, including the old Herald News Building. He was an expert on prison architecture and in 1928 was sent to Europe by Illinois for an international conference on prison design.
- H. F. and Elsie Wethey: *Biographical Dictionary of American Architects Deceased*) Los Angeles 1970, p. 602
29. *Joliet Evening Herald News*, June 10, 1924, Vol. 20, No. 203, p. 10
 30. Illinois State Historical Library, Springfield. Gov. Len Small Mss. collection. The "boys within the wall" a few years later in the same dining hall would stage Stateville's first riot which they started by banging their cups on the table. This incident would reverberate through several prison movies of the 30's and 40's, as the ne plus ultra of prison protest. See Erickson, Gladys: *Warden Ragen of Joliet*, New York, 1959, p. 25 and Jacobs, James B., *Stateville, The Penitentiary in Mass Society*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois 1977, p. 25. The riot started March 14, 1931.
 31. *Joliet Sunday Herald News*, Dec. 7, 1924, Vol. 31, No. 19, p. 2. The Joliet paper's coverage was extensive, and Tomlinson called it to Gov. Small's attention; the Governor being unable to attend because of illness.
 32. Whitman, John L. & Zimmerman, Saxe and Zimmerman, *The Progressive Merit System of Prison Administration*, printed authority of the State of Illinois, N.D., P. 3. Pamphlet in Lewis University Canal Collection.

33. Ibid., p. 9
34. Ibid., p. 15
35. Ibid., p. 30
36. Ericksen, _____, *Ragen of Joliet*, op. cit., p. 96
37. Ragen, Mss Devil Stoned, pp. 139-140. See also, Ragen, Joseph: *Inside the World's Toughest Prison*, Charles Thomas Publisher, Springfield, Ill. 1962, pp. 279-280
Regan means by 'barracks,' the rectangular Auburn model. Regan was probably the most famous of the wardens of Stateville. He was warden from 1936-1961.
38. Letter to the Author, Oct. 16, 1991, from Howard A. Peters II, Director of Illinois Department of Corrections.
39. *Joliet Herald News*, Sunday, June 28, 1992. "A Matter of Time" A special section, p. 2
40. *Herald News*, Dec. 3, 1991, p. 5 Article by Kim Irwin.
41. *Chicago Tribune*, May 15, 1992, p. 14 Article by Monique Parsons.